



Original Article

Nursing students' experiences of faculty incivility: A qualitative exploratory study

Zahra Hosseini Nodeh<sup>1</sup>, Zahra Tayebi<sup>2,3\*</sup>, Maryam Aghabarary<sup>2,3</sup>, Razieh Tayebi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Nursing, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Department of Nursing, School of Nursing, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Department of Educational Psychology, School of Psychology, Kharazmi University, Tehran, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Received 17 October 2019  
Accepted 02 December 2019  
Published 01 April 2020

Available online at:  
<http://npt.tums.ac.ir>

Key words:

academic incivility;  
nursing ethics;  
nursing faculty;  
qualitative research

ABSTRACT

**Background & Aim:** Academic incivility has a common problem in academic settings including nursing schools. The aim of the present study was to explore nursing students' experiences of faculty incivility.

**Methods & Materials:** This was a conventional qualitative content analysis approach. Fourteen bachelor's nursing students were purposefully recruited from two faculty of nursing in, Karaj, Iran. Data were gathered through semi-structured interviews and analyzed via the conventional content analysis.

**Results:** We derived two main categories from students' experiences; "hidden faculty incivility" and "obvious faculty incivility". Hidden incivility contained four subcategories, includes lack of mastery over the subject matter, inattentiveness to the learning climate of the class, inability to manage the class, and unfair evaluation. Obvious incivility also included the three subcategories of speech-behavior contradiction, authoritative behavior, and unconventional behavior.

**Conclusion:** This study suggests that faculty incivility can have various aspects and beyond obvious offensive behaviors which mainly pertains to faculty interaction and teaching skills. Nursing faculties and nursing education authorities need to acquire adequate knowledge about the different aspects of faculty incivility and employ strategies for its prevention and alleviation.

Introduction

The Nursing Code of Ethics requires all nurses to respect colleagues, students, and patients. This ethical principle confirms that any violence, disrespect, or threatening behavior is unacceptable in nursing (1, 2). Nonetheless, incivility is common in nursing schools (3).

There are different definitions for incivility; the most well-known of which is "disrespect to others' rights" (4). Accordingly, academic incivility is defined as behavior incoherent with the classroom

atmosphere, which may appear in varying degrees and forms (5). In nursing education, incivility is an unpleasant event, which affects faculty, and students in all features of their educational experience (6). Some examples of academic incivility are the use of cell phone in the classroom, saying bitter and bold words, late to the class, napping in the class (7), bargaining with faculties for better marks and less homework, disputing with classmates (8), and even physical threats or harms to others (9). These examples denote that academic incivility is learners' disrespectful behaviors (7); however, evidence shows that incivility is formed in a bilateral process, in which both students and faculties play an important role. In other words, while faculties complain

\*Corresponding Author: Zahra Tayebi, Postal Address: Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran. School of Nursing, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran. Email: tayebi2010@hotmail.com







### *1-2 Inattentiveness to the learning climate of the class*

Another subcategory of hidden faculty incivility was faculty's inattentiveness to the learning climate of the class. According to the participants, faculty's inactive and unprofessional presence in the class disrupts the class. Ineffective communication with students, refraining from seeking students' feedbacks, inattentiveness to students' individual characteristics, lack of appropriate eye contact with students, the use of inappropriate educational technology, and failure to follow teaching standards, are examples.

*Some instructors just rapidly read materials from the slides without considering the students' patience and class conditions. Sometimes, one hundred slides are going to be presented without any break. Accordingly, the instructor doesn't notice that some students are napping in the last row, while those in the first rows are suffering. Such practice is annoying for students (P.1).*

### *1-3 Inability to manage the class*

Inability to manage the class reflected a wide range of problems from severe stringency and rigid discipline to indecision in managing the class. While acknowledging the importance of regulations to the class, students noted that faculties' unfair punishments and inflexibility in managing students' faults cause or aggravate incivility. They believed that faculties need to take the students' conditions into account and provide appropriate feedbacks. On the other hand, faculties' indecisiveness to class management can cause anarchy in the class and make it inappropriate for learning.

*Some faculties are too martinet about students' late class attendance and prevent students from entering the class in case of even a one-minute delay. Of course, rules and regulations are good; but, students' conditions should also be taken into account. Some students may have delays due to an urgent need (P.4).*

*I think that it is the worst characteristic of a teacher to allow delayed entry to the class. Some teachers allow students to enter the class even in the middle of the class and call the roll at the end of the class. Consequently, some students attend the class with 30 to 45-minute delays (P.12).*

### *1-4 Unfair evaluation*

Unfair evaluation was the last, but not the least, subcategory of hidden faculty incivility. According to students, some faculties cause or aggravate incivility through doing unfair evaluations which are not congruent with the taught materials. They may assign hard and futile homework, and manipulate students' marks without providing them any clear explanation.

*Some instructors are apparently very good and I feel that they are not uncivil; but they manipulate students' marks. I think this is an instance of incivility (P. 5).*

## *2- Obvious faculty incivility*

### *2-1 Speech-behavior contradiction*

Students believed that the contradiction between faculties' speeches and behaviors is among the most important examples of obvious incivility. Such contradiction was observed in the faculties' management of students' faults such as the use of cell phone in the class or delayed attendance in the class. Students noted that faculties with

## *Student experiences of faculty incivility*

speech-behavior contradiction cannot expect civility from their students.

*I believe that the first person who should follow a rule is the maker of that rule. Otherwise, his subordinates cannot tolerate it. For instance, when an instructor makes a rule, but not follow it, we understand that he is not a decisive person and therefore, we learn not to follow that rule (P. 1).*

### *2-2 Authoritative behavior*

Faculties' authoritative behavior toward students was another subcategory of the obvious incivility main category. Most participants believed that authoritative behavior is a striking example of incivility. Some instances of authoritative behavior as reported by the students, were inflexibility, paternalistic view, and no permission to students to participate in the process of learning, indifference to students' ideas, ridiculing students' ideas, and providing them with negative feedbacks. The last two instances prevent students from participating in class discussions or answering faculties' questions.

*Some instructors never allow students to express their ideas and do not pay attention to our suggestions. They are the only persons who know what they are going to do and usually do whatever they prefer (P. 13).*

### *2-3 Unconventional behavior*

Some participants noted that faculties are not expected to wear unusual clothes, give inappropriate laughter, behave stubbornly toward students, use inappropriate humors, and fall out with students. In other words, they considered faculties' behaviors which do not fit social norms as instances of incivility.

*Some instructors fall out with one or two students and leave the class, for example, due to students' whispering in the class. Such behaviors are unacceptable (P. 7).*

## **Discussion**

This study aimed to explore nursing students' experiences of faculty incivility. Findings fell into two main categories namely "hidden faculty incivility" and "obvious faculty incivility". This study revealed that there are instances of hidden faculty incivility which are usually taken for granted. A faculty may not have obvious incivility; however, his/her behavior may be unsuitable for an instructor. Moreover, some faculties may apparently be very polite, but their behaviors question their instructional role and identity.

In an assessment of academic knowledge transfer practices in Pakistan, having enough knowledge and the ability to carefully transfer it to the students, are mentioned as the professional duties of a faculty (26). The lack of these professional duties was considered incivility by our study participants, too. This issue gets highlighted when teacher begins lesson with the prior awareness of his or her lack of full preparation for that. In such case, students are well aware of the fact that the deliberate neglect of the teacher caused for waste of their time in that class, where the importance of their learning is ignored by the teacher. These findings also are in line with the findings of previous qualitative studies conducted in Iran (3, 20) and Egypt (14). They mention to wasting of class time, distraction, irresponsible, inappropriate and aggressive behaviors as the incivility of faculties.

Moreover, assessing the connection between students' justice experience and perceptions of faculty incivility revealed that faculties' inattention to the learning climate of the class and also to students' questions can give students a sense of incivility (27), because students expect their faculties to consider their interests and involve them in class discussions and learning climate, actively. In a cross sectional study of Incivility behavior in a nursing and midwifery department in Iran, RafieeVardanjani et al. (2016) revealed that not being prepared for sessions (with 40.7%), is the most disturbing behavior in nursing education (28). Also, in a note by Knepp (2012) when the teacher do not involve students in the education process or discourage their questions or comments, gives the message that his or her class can be a place for incivility (7). In this way, in an Iranian letter to editor, delivering and receiving feedbacks appropriate to teaching and learning, to and from students, paying attention to the student knowledge situation and creating collaborative learning environments have been mentioned as some strategies to reduce incivility (29).

The findings of the present study also indicated faculty's inability to manage the class is another example of hidden incivility. This finding is indicative of students' expectations of faculties' abilities. As indicated by the results of a study conducted in Iran, guided democracy as an effective strategy of faculties for incivility management in nursing education help learners develop professional performance (21). This finding denotes that students consider disorganized behaviors as usual for themselves and expect faculties to manage students' behaviors. In the other words, they attributed their own incivility to the faculties' inability to manage the class.

Knepp (2012) believes that faculty who are primarily research-focused may do not have interest to invest time and effort in teaching and classroom management techniques (7). This proposition highlights that faculties should develop their communication and interpersonal skills, as well as other education and research based skills, to better understand the views of students and to manage the class interactively (29).

Unfair evaluation and feedbacks were the other examples of hidden faculty incivility. Students considered it uncivil to get an unfair mark or to undergo an unfair evaluation, which is not congruent with the taught materials. Although previous studies also reported biased evaluation or faculty's inappropriate use of his/her power for manipulating students' marks as instances of injustice (7, 18, 29), the unfair evaluation subcategory in the present study was a new concept which directly questioned faculty's evaluation criteria. Of course, students' unfamiliarity with the purposes and criteria of evaluation may contribute to their perceptions of evaluation fairness. Students usually consider evaluations as subjective and biased, think that they merit better marks, and easily overlook their faults (30). Nilson (2016) takes a slightly different approach about the causes of incivility in this subcategory. She argues, increased diverse in college campus results in a broad array of student attitudes and expectations about learning and the academic environment (31). It proposes more preparations for both faculty and students to have good mutual educational expectations and relationships.

The other main category of the study was "obvious faculty incivility" which points to those behaviors which are clearly disrespectful. Students considered faculties' behaviors which contradicted their speeches







### *Student experiences of faculty incivility*

20. Graneheim UH, Lindgren B-M, Lundman B. Methodological challenges in qualitative content analysis: A discussion paper. *Nurse education today*. 2017;56:29-34.
21. Lashari JH, Bhutto A, Rashdi PRS, Abro QMM. Assessment of Academic Knowledge Transfer Practices in Field of Environment. *Asian Journal of Scientific Research*. 2017;10:354-62.
22. Alt D, Itzkovich Y. Assessing the connection between students' justice experience and perceptions of faculty incivility in higher education. *Journal of Academic Ethics*. 2015;13(2):121-34.
23. Vardanjani R, Parvin N, Shafiee Z, Safdari Dehcheshmeh F. Assessment of Uncivil Behaviors of Teachers and Students in Nursing and Midwifery faculties of Shahrekord. *Development Strategies in Medical Education*. 2016;3(2):25-37.
24. Karimi Moonaghi H, Rad M, Torkmannejad Sabzevari M. Management of challenging behavior (incivility) among medical students. *Future of Medical Education Journal*. 2014;4(1):41-.
25. Linse AR. Interpreting and using student ratings data: Guidance for faculty serving as administrators and on evaluation committees. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*. 2017;54:94-106.
26. Nilson LB. *Teaching at its best: A research-based resource for college instructors*: John Wiley & Sons; 2016.
27. Lasiter S, Marchiondo L, Marchiondo K. Student narratives of faculty incivility. *Nursing outlook*. 2012;60(3):121-6. e1.
28. Shanta LL, Eliason AR. Application of an empowerment model to improve civility in nursing education. *Nurse education in practice*. 2014;14(1):82-6.