



Original Article

Hospital nurses' perception of evidence-based practice: A descriptive-analytical studyParisa Soleymanifar¹, Aziz Kamran², Milad Jafari³, Mohammadtaghi Savadpoor⁴, Khadijeh Nasiri^{3*}¹ Department of Medical Surgical, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran² Department of Health Education, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran³ Department of Medical Surgical, Khalkhal University of Medical Sciences, Khalkhal, Iran⁴ Department of Medical Surgical, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

ARTICLE INFO

Received 06 November 2018
Accepted 17 December 2018
Published 06 April 2019

Available online at:
<http://npt.tums.ac.ir>

Key words:
perception;
evidence-based practice;
nurses

ABSTRACT

Background & Aim: Evidence-based practice refers to the use of the best research evidence, personal knowledge and clinical expertise, and patients' values and preferences for the provision of healthcare services. This study aimed to evaluate hospital nurses' perception of evidence-based practice.

Materials & Methods: This descriptive-analytical study was conducted in 2015 on 374 nurses randomly recruited from five teaching hospitals in Ardabil and Khalkhal, Iran. Data were collected using the Evidence-Based Practice Questionnaire and analyzed through the SPSS software (v.13.0).

Results: The total mean scores of nurses' perception of evidence-based practice and its practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills domains were 107.40 ± 18.76 , 4.66 ± 1.34 , 3.63 ± 1.48 , and 4.63 ± 1.03 , respectively. The mean scores of these domains had significant correlations with each other ($P < 0.05$).

Conclusion: Nurses' perception of evidence-based practice is at moderate level and hence, they have moderate readiness for evidence-based practice. Coherent policies, educational strategies, and environmental improvements are needed to improve nurses' perception of evidence-based practice.

Introduction

Nurses constitute the biggest group of healthcare providers worldwide. They are responsible for the provision of about half of all healthcare services. Therefore, the quality of healthcare services largely depends on the quality of nursing care services (1).

Because of their critical roles in care delivery, nurses need to continuously develop their knowledge and skills and keep their knowledge up-to-date (2). They need to acquire knowledge about the newest evidence on nursing practice (4).

The American Association of Colleges of nursing introduced the ability to provide evidence-based nursing care as a striking characteristic of quality nursing care (3). Thus, healthcare providers have become interested in evidence-based practice (EBP) (5, 6).

Nurses consider professional care delivery, patient-centeredness, and quality care as the core concepts of EBP (7, 8). However, the aim of EBP is to use knowledge and the results of the latest clinical researches based on patient's conditions in order to provide healthcare services (9–11). EBP has four main phases, namely changing a clinical scenario to an answerable and organized question, searching the literature to find the best evidence for answering the question, critical appraisal of the evidence to assess

*Corresponding Author: Khadijeh Nasiri, Postal Address: Department of Medical Surgical, Khalkhal University of Medical Sciences, Khalkhal, Iran.
Email: khadije.nasiri@yahoo.com

its validity and applicability, and integrating the acquired data into clinical practice (12).

EBP is the core of advanced nursing practice. Yet, nurses do not use EBP due to their lack of knowledge about it (13). A study on 120 critical care nurses reported that they had limited knowledge about evidence-based prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia (14). Another study also reported that 58% of nurses in the United States had never used the Medline database (15). Similarly, a study in Iran showed that although nurses had positive attitudes towards EBP, most of them had poor EBP-related knowledge and practice (16). Another study in Iran showed that 74% of educational managers and 86.7% of clinical managers were indifferent to EBP and only 14% of educational managers and 6.7% of clinical managers had positive attitudes towards it (18). The main barriers to EBP were reported to be time limitation (17), managers' indifference, and non-supportive organizational climate (19).

Despite the positive effects of EBP on the quality of healthcare services, there are limited studies in the area of EBP in Iran. The most primary and the most important step to the implementation of EBP is to assess its current status. Therefore, the present study was conducted to evaluate hospital nurses' perception of EBP.

Methods

This descriptive-analytical study was conducted in 2015 on nurses in five teaching hospitals affiliated to Ardabil and Khalkhal Universities of Medical Sciences, Ardabil and Khalkhal, Iran.

For sampling, the total number of nurses in each hospital was determined and then, a proportionate sample of nurses was randomly selected from each hospital through simple random sampling. Inclusion criteria were a clinical work experience of more than one year and a bachelor's degree or higher in nursing.

Sample size was calculated to be 400. Sample size calculation parameters were a mean of 4.58, a standard deviation of 1.5 (24), and a type I error of 0.05.

Data collection tool was the Evidence-Based Practice Questionnaire. This questionnaire was developed by Upton and Upton in 2006 for the measurement of nurses' perception of EBP. It includes 24 items in the three main domains of practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills. The first six items assess nurses' practice on a seven-point Likert scale from 1 ("Never") to 7 ("Frequently"). Items 7–10 assess nurses' EBP-related attitude on a seven-point spectrum ranging from negative attitude (scored 1) to positive attitude (score 7). Items 11–24 are related to nurses' EBP-related knowledge/skills. These items are scored on a seven-point scale from 1 ("Poor") to 7 ("Best").

The possible total scores of the questionnaire and its domains are respectively 1–168 and 1–7, with higher scores showing better EBP perception. The validity and reliability of this questionnaire were confirmed in an earlier study which reported that the Cronbach's alpha values of the questionnaire and its practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills domains were 0.84, 0.87, 0.67, and 0.79, respectively (38).

The data were analyzed via the SPSS software (v. 13.0). The scores of nurses' EBP-related practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills were described through the measures of descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation, and relative frequency. The correlations among nurses' EBP-related practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills were examined using the Pearson's correlation analysis. The level of significance was set at less than 0.05.

This study received ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran (approval code: IR.ARUMS.REC.1395.187).

Results

Among 400 questionnaires administered to 400 nurses, ten questionnaires were excluded due to incomplete answering. Moreover, sixteen nurses did not return their questionnaires. Therefore, final data analysis was conducted on the data retrieved from 374 nurses. Most participants were female (329 nurses; 88%) and married (224 nurses; 59.9%), held

bachelor's degree (347 nurses; 92.8%), and worked rotational shift (305 nurses; 81.6%). Moreover, around half of them secured official conditional employment (173 nurses; 46.3%) and had a work experience of 1–5 years (178 nurses; 47.6%), while around one third of them aged 20–25 (127 nurses; 34%) and worked in internal medicine wards (110 nurses; 29.4%). Table 1 shows their characteristics.

Table 1. Participants' demographic characteristics

Characteristics	N (%)	Characteristics	N (%)			
Age	20–25	127 (34)	Ward	Emergency	56 (15)	
	26–30	103 (27.5)		Surgical care	60 (16)	
	31–35	75 (20.1)		Internal medicine	110 (29.4)	
	36–40	53 (14.2)		Pediatric care	29 (7.8)	
	41–45	12 (3.2)		Coronary care	48 (12.8)	
≥ 46	4 (1.1)	Intensive care		32 (8.6)		
Gender	Male	45 (12)		Neonatal care	20 (5.3)	
	Female	329 (88)		Gynecological care	19 (5.1)	
Marital status	Single	150 (40.1)		Hospital name	Imam Khomeini (Khalkhal)	58 (15.5)
	Married	244 (59.9)			Imam Khomeini (Ardabil)	148 (39.6)
Academic degree	Bachelor's	347 (92.8)	Alavi		46 (12.3)	
	Master's	27 (7.2)	Booali		62 (16.6)	
Organizational position	Staff nurse	350 (93.6)	Fatemi		60 (16)	
	Head nurse	20 (5.3)	1–5	178 (47.6)		
	Nursing manager	4 (1.1)	6–10	122 (32.6)		
Employment status	Official permanent	51 (13.6)	Work experience	11–15	45 (12)	
	Official conditional	173 (46.3)		16–20	26 (7)	
	Under contract	62 (6.16)		21–25	1 (0.3)	
	Post-graduation mandatory service	88 (23.5)		26–30	2 (0.5)	
Work shift	Morning	46 (12.3)				
	Evening	4 (1.1)				
	Morning-Evening	15 (4)				
	Evening-Night	4 (1.1)				
	Rotational	305 (81.6)				

The mean score of nurses' perception of EBP was 107.40±18.76 (in the possible range of 1–168). The mean scores of the practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills domains of nurses' EBP-related perception were 4.66±1.34, 3.63±1.48, and 4.63±1.03, respectively.

As Table 2 shows, the highest item mean scores in the practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills domains were respectively related to item 5 (i.e. "Evaluating the outcomes of practice"), item 7 (i.e. "Making

time to keep update new evidence instead of insufficient time due to workload"), and item 21 (i.e. "Ability to apply information to individual cases").

Table 3 shows the correlations among the different domains of nurses' perception of EBP. The results of the Pearson's correlation analysis illustrated that all pairwise correlations among the domains of nurses' perception of EBP were statistically significant (P<0.05).

Table 2. The mean scores of participants' EBP-related practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills

No.	Domains and items	Mean±SD
	Practice	4.66±1.34
1	Formulating a clearly answerable question	4.21±1.69
2	Tracking down the relevant evidence	4.41±3.97
3	Critically appraising	4.55±1.56
4	Integrating the evidence	4.61±1.63
5	Evaluating the outcomes of practice	5.12±1.38
6	Sharing the information with colleagues	5.05±1.40
	Attitude	3.63±1.48
7	Making the time to keep update new evidence instead of insufficient time due to workload	4.12±2.61
8	Resenting when my clinical practice questioned instead of welcoming them	3.31±1.76
9	Being EBP a waste of time instead of fundamental to professional practice	3.33±2.39
10	Sticking old ways versus change my practice	3.75±2.69
	Knowledge/skills	4.63±1.03
11	Research skills	3.69±1.57
12	IT skills	4.40±1.63
13	Monitoring and reviewing of practice skills	4.29±1.40
14	Converting your information needs into a research question	3.80±1.69
15	Awareness of major information types and sources	4.67±1.41
16	Ability to identify gaps in your professional practice	4.85±1.31
17	Knowledge of how to retrieve evidence	5.04±1.34
18	Ability to analyze critically evidence against set standards	4.91±1.3
19	Ability to determine how valid (close to the truth) the material is	4.82±2.42
20	Ability to determine how useful (clinically applicable) the material is	4.90±2.48
21	Ability to apply information to individual cases	4.59±2.89
22	Sharing of ideas and information with colleagues	5.13±2.88
23	Dissemination of new ideas about care to colleagues	4.99±2.97
24	Ability to review your own practice	4.74±1.48

Table 3. The results of the Pearson's correlation analysis for pairwise correlations among nurses' EBP-related practice, attitude, and knowledge/skills

Dimensions of EBPQ	Knowledge/Skills	Attitude	Practice
Knowledge/Skills	1	r=0.218; P=0.001	r=0.413; P=0.001
Attitude	—	1	r=0.159; P=0.002
Practice	—	—	1

Discussion

This study evaluated hospital nurses' perception of EBP. Findings revealed that participants had moderate perception of EBP. The total mean score of nurses' perception of EBP in the present study was less than the mean score in an earlier study (20). In line with our findings, three former studies in different areas of Iran reported that nurses' perception of EBP was at moderate level (21–23). All these findings highlight the necessity of developing strategies to strengthen the different aspects of EBP among Iranian nurses.

Study findings also indicated that the highest item mean score in the practice

domain was related to item 5, i.e. "Evaluating the outcomes of practice". An earlier study in Iran also reported the same finding, though the mean score of item 5 in our study was greater than that study (21). However, another study reported that the highest item mean score in the practice domain was related to the item "Sharing the information with colleagues" (20).

The highest item mean score in the attitude domain was related to the item "Making time to keep update new evidence instead of insufficient time due to workload". Moreover, the total mean score of the attitude domain was at moderate

level which is in line with the findings of several earlier studies (21–24). However, a study in Oman found that nurses had positive attitudes towards EBP (25). A study in Iran also showed that more than half of the instructors of a leading nursing and midwifery faculty believed that EBP was inconsistent with the realities of the Iranian community and hence, its implementation was almost impossible (26).

The highest item mean score in the knowledge/skills domain was related to the item “Ability to apply information to individual cases”. This contradicts the findings of three earlier studies which reported that the highest item mean score in the knowledge/skills domain was related to the item “Sharing of ideas and information with colleagues” (20, 21) and the item “Ability to identify gaps in your professional practice” (26). Moreover, in line with the findings of earlier studies (15, 21–23), our findings revealed that nurses’ EBP-related knowledge/skills was at moderate level. A study reported that only 24% of nurses attempted to find answers to their questions through health-related databases (15). Therefore, nursing curricula should be revised to include courses on searching, appraising, and using evidence for clinical practice.

We also found significant pairwise correlations among the domains of nurses’ perception of EBP. Two earlier studies also reported the same finding (21, 28). Therefore, improving nurses’ EBP-related knowledge, skills, and attitudes and then, providing them with adequate organizational support can be effective in promoting their EBP.

This study concludes that hospital nurses’ perception of EBP is at moderate level, denoting that they have moderate level readiness for EBP. Therefore, strategies are needed to improve their EBP-related perception and readiness. The most important strategies for this purpose may include development of coherent policies

and educational programs and improvement of organizational and clinical environments.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to gratefully thank all participating nurses as well as the Research Administrations of Ardabil and Khalkhal Universities of Medical Sciences, Ardabil and Khalkhal, Iran.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

References

1. Hassanpoor M, Hosseini MA, Fallahi khoshknab M, Abbaszade A. The effect of nursing ethics principles education on ethics sensivity in nurses diction making of kerman social security hospitals in 2009. *J Med Ethics Hist Med* 2010; 4 (5): 58–64.(Persian)
2. Wells SJ, Merritt LM, Briggs HE. Bias, racism and evidence-based practice: The case for more focused development of the child welfare evidence base. *Child Youth Serv Rev.* 2009; 31(11):1160-71.
3. Rolloff M. A constructivist model for teaching evidence-based practice. *Nurs Educ Perspect.* 2010; 31(5): 290-3.
4. Christian BJ. Practice makes perfect-research makes perfect practice. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing.* 2012; 27 (1): 90-1.
5. Hankemeier DA, Van Lunen BL. Perceptions of approved clinical instructors: barriers in the implementation of evidence-based practice. *J Athl Train.* 2013; 48(3): 382-93.
6. Dalrymple PW, Lehmann HP, Roderer NK, Streiff MB. Applying evidence in practice: a qualitative case study of the factors affecting residents' decisions. *Health Informatics J.* 2010; 16(3):177-88.
7. Van Dijk N, Hooft L, Wieringa-de Waard M. What Are the Barriers to Residents' Practicing Evidence-Based Medicine? A Systematic Review. *Acad Med.* 2010; 85(7): 1163-70.
8. Powers JD, Bowen NK, Bowen GL. Evidence-based programs in school settings: barriers and recent advances. *J Evid Based Soc Work.* 2010; 7(4): 313-31.

9. Cullen L, Adams S. What is evidence-based practice?. *J Perianesth Nurs.* 2010; 25: 171–3.
10. Anuradha C, Jacob KS, Shyamkumar NK, Sridhar G. Evidence-based practice in radiology: Knowledge, attitude and perceived barriers to practice among residents in radiology. *Eur J Radiol.* 2013; 82(5):894-7.
11. Lyons C, Brown T, Tseng MH, Casey J, McDonald R. Evidence-based practice and research utilisation: perceived research knowledge, attitudes, practices and barriers among Australian paediatric occupational therapists. *Aust Occup Ther J.* 2011; 58(3): 178-86.
12. Kermanshahi S, Parvinian AM. Barriers to implementation of evidence-based care: viewpoints of nursing staff. *Iranian J Med Educ.* 2012; 12(2):84-92.
13. Dawley K, Bloch JR, Suplee PD, McKeever A, Scherzer G. Using a pedagogical approach to integrate evidence-based teaching in an undergraduate women's health course. *Worldviews Evid Based Nurs.* 2010; 2(8):116-23.
14. Shahidi Far S, Emami Zeydi A, Taghipour B, Sharif Nia H, Soleimani MA, Hassan Zadeh Kiabi F, et al. Evaluation of critical care nurses' knowledge of evidence-based guidelines for prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Mil Caring Sci.* 2015; 2(1):14-23.
15. Corder S. Teaching Evidence-Based Practice: Application of the Ace Star Model in an Undergraduate Nursing Curriculum 2011[updated 2017; cited 2011 Apr 25]. Available from: <http://www.acestar.uthscsa.edu/institute/su07>.
16. Dehghani H, Heidari F, Karimian Kakolaki Z, Salimi T. Critical Care Nurses' knowledge, attitude and practice in Yazd University of Medical Sciences' Teaching Hospitals on Evidence-Based Nursing. *Commun Health J.* 2014; 8(2):30-7.
17. Bayley MT, Hurdwar A, Richards CL, Korner-Bitensky N, Wood-Dauphinee S, Eng JJ. Barriers to implementation of stroke rehabilitation evidence: findings from a multi-site pilot project. *Disabil Rehabil.* 2012; 34(19): 1633-8.
18. Pourghaznin T, Ghorbani F. Barrasye negareshe parastaran dar erbat ba moraghebate mobtani bar shavahed. National Congress of Evidence-Based Care. Mashhad: Mashhad University of Medical Science; 2010
19. Uysal A, Temel AB, Ardahan M, Ozkahraman S. Barriers to research utilisation among nurses in Turkey. *J Clin Nurs.* 2010; 19(23-24): 3443-52.
20. Andrea R, Lucy A, Christopher J. VA Nurses' pinions Regarding the Use of vidence-Based Practice. *Federal Practitioner.* 2011; 28(5) :31-8.
21. Shafiei E, Baratimarnani A, Goharinezhad S, Kalhor R, Azmal M. Nurses' perceptions of evidence-based practice: a quantitative study at a teaching hospital in Iran. *MJIRI.* 2014; 135(24).
22. Seyyedrasooli A, Zamanzadeh V, Valizadeh L, Tadaion F. Individual Potentials Related to Evidence-Based Nursing among Nurses in Teaching Hospitals Affiliated to Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. *JCS.* 2012; 1(2):93-9.
23. Aghahosseini S. Nurses' knowledge and attitude in Evidence-Based Nursing in kashan University of Medical Sciences' Teaching Hospitals, 2011. *Nursing & Midwifery Journal, tabriz University of Medical Science.* 2011; 6(22): 45-54.
24. Stichler J.F., Fields W, Kim S.C., & Brown C.E. Faculty knowledge, attitudes, and perceived barriers to teaching evidence-based nursing. *Journal of Professional Nursing.* 2011; 27(2), 92-100
25. AbuRuz ME, Hayeah HA, Al-Dweik G, Al-Akash HY. Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practice about Evidence-Based Practice: A Jordanian Study. *Health Sci J.* 2017; 11 (2): 1-6.
26. Mehrdad N, Joolae S, Joolae A, Bahrani N. Nursing faculties' nowledge and attitude on evidence-based practice. *Iranian journal of nursing and midwifery research.* 2012; 17(7), 506.
27. Karen Rice, Jeongha Hwang, Tina Abrefa-Gyan, Kathleen Powel. vidence-Based Practice Questionnaire: A Confirmatory Factor Analysis in a Social Work Sample. *Advances in Social Work.* 2010; 11 (2) : 158-173.
28. Melnyk BM, Overholt EF, Feinstien NF, at al. Nurse' perceived knowledge, belif, skill, and needs regarding evidence –based practice: implications for for accelerating the paradigm shift. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing* 2004, 1 (3): 185-93.